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## PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

## (Dollars in Thousands)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 63,327 | \$ | 104,782 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,005 and \$1,248 at June 30, 2013 and |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory |  | 27,710 |  | 20,669 |
| Prepaid and deferred taxes |  | 17,752 |  | 16,927 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets |  | 5,737 |  | 3,973 |
| Total current assets |  | 137,347 |  | 162,577 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 10,289 |  | 10,382 |
| Intangible assets, net |  | 31,097 |  | 34,204 |
| Goodwill |  | 209,357 |  | 185,771 |
| Other assets |  | 7,667 |  | 7,474 |
| Total assets | \$ | 395,757 | \$ | 400,408 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 12,281 | \$ | 9,997 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities |  | 30,165 |  | 36,569 |
| Profit-sharing distributions payable |  | 2,813 |  | 4,041 |
| Current portion of acquisition earn out payables |  | - |  | 14,511 |
| Customer payables |  | 28,039 |  | 34,265 |
| Current portion of note payable |  | - |  | 10,000 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 73,298 |  | 109,383 |
| Acquisition earn out payables |  | 18,299 |  | - |
| Note payable, net of current portion |  | - |  | 32,000 |
| Deferred taxes and other long-term liabilities |  | 9,221 |  | 9,022 |
| Total liabilities |  | 100,818 |  | 150,405 |
| Stockholders' equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ 0.001$ par value; $120,000,000$ shares authorized; $31,693,239$ shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013; 31,138,111 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2012 |  | 31 |  | 31 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 200,059 |  | 182,361 |



See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Revenue | \$ | 99,673 | \$ | 105,601 | \$ | 307,202 | \$ | 313,405 |
| Fee revenue |  | 24,526 |  | 15,672 |  | 69,526 |  | 39,624 |
| Total revenue |  | 124,199 |  | 121,273 |  | 376,728 |  | 353,029 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) |  | 49,977 |  | 49,187 |  | 147,045 |  | 147,497 |
| Profit-sharing distributions |  | 8,649 |  | 10,245 |  | 27,002 |  | 34,117 |
| Technology and operations |  | 21,851 |  | 15,943 |  | 66,800 |  | 47,528 |
| Sales and marketing |  | 10,127 |  | 7,364 |  | 30,428 |  | 20,809 |
| General and administrative |  | 10,096 |  | 8,639 |  | 35,907 |  | 24,672 |
| Amortization of contract intangibles |  | 2,407 |  | 2,020 |  | 7,023 |  | 6,059 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 1,984 |  | 1,477 |  | 5,952 |  | 4,508 |
| Acquisition costs |  | 239 |  | 1,109 |  | 5,826 |  | $(5,562)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total costs and expenses |  | 105,330 |  | 95,984 |  | 325,983 |  | 279,628 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income from operations |  | 18,869 |  | 25,289 |  | 50,745 |  | 73,401 |
| Interest and other (expense) income, net |  | (56) |  | (517) |  | 772 |  | $(1,625)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before provision for income taxes |  | 18,813 |  | 24,772 |  | 51,517 |  | 71,776 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | $(7,525)$ |  | $(9,909)$ |  | $(20,822)$ |  | $(29,025)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 11,288 | \$ | 14,863 | \$ | 30,695 | \$ | 42,751 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ | 0.36 | \$ | 0.48 | \$ | 0.97 | \$ | 1.39 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.45 | \$ | 0.94 | \$ | 1.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding |  | 31,651,061 |  | 31,140,261 |  | 31,565,109 |  | 30,791,297 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding |  | 32,540,187 |  | 33,183,165 |  | 32,642,046 |  | 32,781,370 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (In Thousands)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Nine Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 11,288 | \$ | 14,863 | \$ | 30,695 | \$ | 42,751 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Defined benefit pension plan - unrecognized amounts, net of taxes |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Foreign currency translation and other |  | $(1,363)$ |  | (3) |  | $(3,457)$ |  | (20) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes |  | $(1,363)$ |  | (3) |  | $(3,457)$ |  | (20) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 9,925 | \$ | 14,860 | \$ | 27,238 | \$ | 42,731 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (In Thousands Except Share Data)


See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

 (In Thousands)|  | Nine Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Operating activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 30,695 | \$ | 42,751 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 12,975 |  | 10,567 |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt |  | $(1,000)$ |  | - |
| Stock compensation expense |  | 10,229 |  | 8,655 |
| Inventory allowance |  | $(1,109)$ |  | (776) |
| Doubtful accounts |  | (243) |  | (217) |
| Incremental tax benefit from exercise of common stock options |  | $(6,074)$ |  | $(15,188)$ |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable |  | $(6,352)$ |  | $(1,066)$ |
| Inventory |  | $(5,932)$ |  | $(4,515)$ |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets |  | 3,292 |  | 13,513 |
| Accounts payable |  | 2,284 |  | $(5,929)$ |
| Accrued expenses and other |  | $(8,608)$ |  | 5,912 |
| Profit-sharing distributions payable |  | $(1,228)$ |  | $(4,329)$ |
| Customer payables |  | $(6,226)$ |  | (167) |
| Acquisition earn out payables |  | $(6,077)$ |  | $(10,068)$ |
| Other liabilities |  | 199 |  | 128 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 16,825 |  | 39,271 |
| Investing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in goodwill and intangibles and cash paid for acquisitions |  | $(14,719)$ |  | $(80,063)$ |
| Purchases of property and equipment |  | $(3,909)$ |  | $(2,828)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(18,628)$ |  | $(82,891)$ |
| Financing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Repurchases of common stock |  | - |  | $(29,999)$ |
| Repayment of notes payable |  | $(39,000)$ |  | - |
| Payment of acquisition contingent liabilities |  | $(8,185)$ |  | - |
| Proceeds from exercise of common stock options (net of tax) |  | 1,394 |  | 14,022 |
| Incremental tax benefit from exercise of common stock options |  | 6,074 |  | 15,188 |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | $(39,717)$ |  | (789) |
| Effect of exchange rate differences on cash and cash equivalents |  | 65 |  | (21) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(41,455)$ |  | $(44,430)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 104,782 |  | 129,089 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 63,327 | \$ | 84,659 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ | 12,221 | \$ | 11,761 |
| Cash paid for interest |  | 2,029 |  | 52 |
| Note payable issued in connection with acquisition |  | - |  | 40,000 |

## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

## 1. Organization

Liquidity Services, Inc. and subsidiaries (LSI or the Company) operates leading auction marketplaces for surplus and salvage assets. LSI enables buyers and sellers to transact in an efficient, automated online auction environment offering over 500 product categories. The Company's marketplaces provide professional buyers access to a global, organized supply of surplus and salvage assets presented with digital images and other relevant product information. Additionally, LSI enables its corporate and government sellers to enhance their financial return on excess assets by providing a liquid marketplace and value-added services that integrate sales and marketing, logistics and transaction settlement into a single offering. LSI organizes its products into categories across major industry verticals such as consumer electronics, general merchandise, apparel, scientific equipment, aerospace parts and equipment, technology hardware, energy equipment, industrial capital assets, fleet and transportation equipment and specialty equipment. The Company's marketplaces are www.liquidation.com, www.govliquidation.com, www.govdeals.com, www.networkintl.com, www.truckcenter.com, www.secondipity.com, and www.go-dove.com. LSI has one reportable segment consisting of operating auction marketplaces for sellers and buyers of surplus, salvage and scrap assets.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## Unaudited Interim Financial Information

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal, recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The information disclosed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for these periods is unaudited. Operating results for the three months ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending September 30, 2013 or any future period. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation. Revenue includes revenue earned under the profit-sharing model and the purchase model. Fee revenue is revenue earned under the consignment model, as well as other fee revenue, and is presented separately as it accounts for more than $10 \%$ of total revenue. The incremental tax benefit of common stock options is presented separately in the operating section of the statement of cash flows. Activity from discontinued operations is immaterial in both periods and therefore not disclosed separately from continuing operations.

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date that these financial statements were issued and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220)—Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, which amends existing guidance by requiring that additional information be disclosed about items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The additional information includes separately stating the total change for each component of other comprehensive income and separately disclosing both current-period other comprehensive income and reclassification adjustments. Entities are also required to present, either on the face of the income statement or in the note to the financial statements, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income as separate line items of net income but only if the entire amount reclassified must be reclassified to net income in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity must cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. ASU 2013-02 will be effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15 , 2012, which for the Company will be our fiscal 2014 first quarter. The Company does not believe the adoption of this update will have a material impact on its financial statements.
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## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

 Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)
## Business Combinations

The Company recognizes all of the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contractual contingencies, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date. Acquisition-related costs are recognized separately from the acquisition and expensed as incurred. Subsequent changes to the purchase price (i.e., working capital adjustments) or other fair value adjustments determined during the measurement period are recorded as an adjustment to goodwill, with the exception of contingent consideration, which is expensed in the period it is modified. All subsequent changes to a valuation allowance or uncertain tax position that relate to the acquired company and existed at the acquisition date that occur both within the measurement period and as a result of facts and circumstances that existed at the acquisition date are recognized as an adjustment to goodwill. All other changes in valuation allowances are recognized as a reduction or increase to income tax expense or as a direct adjustment to additional paid-in capital as required.

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and are non-interest bearing. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible receivables. Allowances are based on management's judgment, which considers historical experience and specific knowledge of accounts where collectability may not be probable. The Company makes provisions based on historical bad debt experience, a specific review of all significant outstanding invoices and an assessment of general economic conditions.

## Earnings per Share

Basic net income attributable to common stockholders per share is computed by dividing net income attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income attributable to common stockholders per share includes the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The Company had $1,405,107$ unvested restricted shares outstanding at June 30,2013 , which were issued at prices ranging from $\$ 7.48$ to $\$ 52.55$, of which 206,546 and 431,014 , and $1,026,971$ and 927,589 shares have been included in the calculation of diluted income per share for the three and nine months ended June 30 , 2013 and 2012, respectively, due to the difference between the issuance price and the average market price for the period in which they have been outstanding. The Company has also excluded the following stock options in its calculation of diluted income per share because the option exercise prices were greater than the average market prices for the applicable period:
(a) for the three months ended June 30, 2013, 151,291 options;
(b) for the nine months ended June 30, 2013, 151,291 options;
(c) for the three months ended June 30, 2012, 0 options; and
(d) for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, 0 options.
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## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

## Earnings per Share (continued)

The following summarizes the potential outstanding common stock of the Company as of the dates set forth below:


## Stock-Based Compensation

The Company estimates the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant. The fair value of stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The fair value of restricted stock awards is based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The determination of the fair value of the Company's stock option awards and restricted stock awards is based on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, the Company's common stock price, expected stock price volatility over the expected life of awards, and actual and projected exercise behavior. Additionally, the Company has estimated forfeitures for share-based awards at the dates of grant based on historical experience, adjusted for future expectation. The forfeiture estimate is revised as necessary if actual forfeitures differ from these estimates.

The Company issues restricted stock awards where restrictions lapse upon either the passage of time (service vesting), achieving performance targets, or some combination of these restrictions. For those restricted stock awards with only service conditions, the Company recognizes compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the explicit service period. For awards to employees with both performance and service conditions, the Company starts recognizing compensation cost over the remaining service period, when it is probable the performance condition will be met. For awards to non-employees (who are not directors), the Company records compensation cost when the performance condition is met.

The Company presents the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) as a financing activity with a corresponding operating cash outflow in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

## 3. Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Disposition Services Contracts

The Company has a Surplus Contract with the DLA Disposition Services in which the base term expired in February 2012 with two one year renewal options. The DoD has exercised both renewal options. Under the Surplus Contract, the Company is required to purchase all usable surplus property offered to the Company by the Department of Defense at a fixed percentage equal to $1.8 \%$ of the DoD's original acquisition value. The Company retains $100 \%$ of the profits from the resale of the property and bears all of the costs for the merchandising and sale of the property. The Surplus Contract contains a provision providing for a mutual termination of the contract for convenience.

As a result of the Surplus Contract, the Company is the sole remarketer of all DoD surplus turned into the DLA Disposition Services available for sale within the United States, Puerto Rico, and Guam.

The Company has a Scrap Contract with the DLA Disposition Services in which the base term expired in June 2012 with three one year renewal options. The DoD has exercised the first two renewal options. Under the terms of the Scrap Contract, the Company is required to purchase all scrap government property referred to it by the DLA Disposition Services. The Company distributes to the DLA Disposition Services $77 \%$ of the profits realized from the ultimate sale of the inventory, after deduction for allowable expenses, as provided for under the terms of the contract. The Contract also has a performance incentive that allows the Company to receive up to an additional $2 \%$ of the profit sharing distribution. This incentive is measured annually on June $30^{\text {th }}$, and is applied to the prior 12 months. The Company earned a performance incentive for the 12 months ended June 30,2013 , of approximately $\$ 1,265,000$, in the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The performance incentive is recorded in Profit-sharing distributions in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 profit-sharing distributions to the DLA Disposition Services under the Scrap Contract were $\$ 8,649,000$ and $\$ 27,001,000$; and $\$ 10,245,000$ and $\$ 34,117,000$, respectively, including accrued amounts, as of June 30,2013 and 2012, of $\$ 2,811,000$ and $\$ 2,938,000$, respectively. The Scrap Contract may be terminated by either the Company or the DLA Disposition Services if the rate of return performance ratio does not exceed specified benchmark ratios for two consecutive quarterly periods and the preceding twelve months. The Company has performed in excess of the benchmark ratios throughout the contract period through June 30, 2013.

As a result of the Scrap Contract, the Company is the sole remarketer of all U.S. Department of Defense scrap turned into the DLA Disposition Services available for sale within the United States, Puerto Rico, and Guam.
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## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

## 4. Acquisitions

## National Electronic Service Association (NESA)

On November 1, 2012, the Company acquired the assets and assumed liabilities of National Electronic Service Association (NESA) in an all cash transaction. The acquisition price included an upfront cash payment of approximately $\$ 18.3$ million and an earn-out payment. Under the terms of the agreement, the earn-out is based on EBITDA earned by NESA during the $36-48$ months after closing. EBITDA growth used in the calculation is capped at $20 \%$ of prior period. The Company's estimate for the total payout ranges from zero to a maximum $\$ 37.7$ million. The Company's estimate of the fair value of the earn-out as of the date of acquisition was $\$ 18.0$ million. As of June 30,2013 , the Company's best estimate of the fair value of the earn out is $\$ 18.3$ million. NESA is a Canadian provider of returns management, refurbishment and reverse logistics services for high-value consumer products. NESA provides expertise and focused services to Fortune 1000 companies in the management of Consumer Electronics, Telecommunications, and Information Technology products.

Under the acquisition method of accounting, the total estimated purchase price is allocated to NESA's net tangible and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values as of November 1, 2012. Based on management's preliminary valuation, as the Company is waiting for additional information and analysis relating to accrued expenses, of the fair value of tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, the purchase price was allocated as follows:

|  | Consideration |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ands) |
| Cash | \$ | 3,760 |
| Goodwill |  | 27,009 |
| Vendor contract intangible asset |  | 3,936 |
| Covenants not to compete |  | 1,400 |
| Other intangible asset |  | 225 |
| Property and equipment |  | 234 |
| Accrued liabilities |  | (204) |
| Total consideration | \$ | 36,360 |

Goodwill was created as part of the acquisition as the Company acquired an experienced and knowledgeable workforce, $75 \%$ of which is expected to be tax deductible as a result of the asset purchase structure of the transaction.

## Jacobs Trading Company

On October 1, 2011, LSI completed its acquisition of the assets of Jacobs Trading, LLC. The acquisition price included an upfront cash payment of $\$ 80.0$ million, a seller subordinated $5 \%$ unsecured note of $\$ 40.0$ million, the issuance of 900,171 shares of restricted stock (valued at $\$ 24.5$ million by applying a $15 \%$ discount to the Company's closing share price on September 30,2011 ) and an earn-out payment. The stock consideration contained a restriction that it is not freely tradable for six months following the acquisition date and the Company used the put option analysis method to fair value the stock. Under the terms of the agreement, the earn-out is based on EBITDA earned by Jacobs during the trailing 12 months ending December 31, 2012 and 2013. The Company's estimate of the fair value of the earn-out as of October 1,2011 was $\$ 8.3$ million out of a possible total earn out payment of $\$ 30.0$
million. During 2012, based on the performance of the business and revised projections, the Company accrued an additional $\$ 6.2$ million for the earn-out. As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of the earn-out was $\$ 14.5$ million. During the three months ended December 31, 2012, based on the performance of the business and revised projections, the Company accrued an additional $\$ 5.1$ million and paid out $\$ 17.4$ million. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company paid out the remaining $\$ 2.2$ million earn-out out under the 2012 target. Based on the performance of the business and projections in comparison to the elevated earn-out targets for calendar year 2013 relative to the targets for calendar year 2012, the Company believes the fair value of the calendar year 2013 earn-out as of June 30, 2013 is zero. The Company paid in full the $\$ 40.0$ million seller subordinated note in November 2012.
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## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

## 5. Goodwill

The goodwill of acquired companies is primarily related to the acquisition of an experienced and knowledgeable workforce. The following summarizes our goodwill activity for the periods indicated:

|  | Goodwill <br> (in thousands) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | 185,771 <br> New acquisitions |
| Translation adjustments | 1,009 <br> Balance at June 30, 2013 |

## 6. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets at June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012 consisted of the following:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Useful } \\ \text { Life } \\ \text { (in years) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | GrossCarryingAmount |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Amount } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (doliars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contract intangibles | 2-5 | \$ | 37,236 | \$ | $(14,289)$ | \$ | 22,947 | \$ | 33,300 | \$ | $(7,266)$ | \$ | 26,034 |
| Brand and technology | 3-5 |  | 5,960 |  | $(1,367)$ |  | 4,593 |  | 6,325 |  | $(1,023)$ |  | 5,302 |
| Covenants not to compete | 3-5 |  | 4,410 |  | $(1,223)$ |  | 3,187 |  | 4,400 |  | $(1,770)$ |  | 2,630 |
| Patent and trademarks | 3-10 |  | 498 |  | (128) |  | 370 |  | 374 |  | (136) |  | 238 |
| Total intangible assets, net |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 31,097 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 34,204 |

Future expected amortization of intangible assets at June 30 was as follows:

| Years ending September 30, |  | (in thousands) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2013 (remaining three months) | $\$$ | 2,931 |
| 2014 |  | 9,355 |
| 2015 | 6,440 |  |
| 2016 |  | 1,232 |
| 2017 and after | 31,097 <br> Total |  |
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## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

## 7. Debt

## Senior Credit Facility

On April 30, 2010, the Company entered into a three year term senior credit facility (the Agreement) with a bank, which provides for borrowings up to $\$ 30.0$ million. Borrowings under the Agreement bear interest at an annual rate equal to the 30 day LIBOR rate plus $1.25 \%(1.443 \%$ at June 30 , 2013) due monthly. On March 13, 2012, the Company amended this credit facility extending the term to May 31, 2014 and increasing the borrowing capacity up to $\$ 75.0$ million. As of September 30, 2012 and June 30, 2013, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the Agreement, and the Company's borrowing availability was $\$ 70.5$ million, due to issued letters of credit for $\$ 4.5$ million.

Borrowings under the Agreement are secured by substantially all of the assets of the Company. The Agreement contains certain financial and nonfinancial restrictive covenants including, among others, the requirements to maintain a minimum level of earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) and a minimum debt coverage ratio. As of June 30, 2013, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

In conjunction with the Jacobs Trading acquisition, the Company issued a $\$ 40,000,000$ seller subordinated $5 \%$ unsecured note. The note was repaid in full in November 2012. In conjunction with the repayment, the Company received a $\$ 1.0$ million discount on the principal. This gain on the early extinguishment of debt has been reflected in interest expense and other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

## 8. Income Taxes

The Company's interim effective income tax rate is based on management's best current estimate of the expected annual effective income tax rate. The Company estimates that its fiscal year 2013 tax rate will be approximately $40 \%$.

The Company applies the guidance related to uncertainty in income taxes. The Company has concluded that there were no uncertain tax positions identified during its analysis. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties in the period in which they occur in the income tax provision. The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, various state and local jurisdictions and in foreign jurisdictions, primarily the U.K. Currently, the Company is not subject to any income tax examinations. The statute of limitations for years prior to fiscal 2009 is now closed. However, certain tax attribute carryforwards that were generated prior to fiscal 2009 may be adjusted upon examination by tax authorities if they are utilized.
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## 9. Stockholders' Equity

## Share Repurchase Program

The Company's Board of Directors has approved up to $\$ 70.0$ million in shares under a share repurchase program. On December 2, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors approved a $\$ 10.0$ million share repurchase program. Under the program, the Company is authorized to repurchase the issued and outstanding shares of common stock. Share repurchases may be made through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, at times and in such amounts as management deems appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements and other market conditions. The repurchase program may be discontinued or suspended at any time, and will be funded using our available cash. On each of February 2, 2010, November 30, 2010 and May 3, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to an additional $\$ 10.0$ million in shares under the share repurchase program, and on May 17, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to an additional $\$ 30.0$ million in shares under the share repurchase program. The Company's Board of Directors reviews the share repurchase program periodically, the last such review having occurred in May 2012. During the year ended September 30, 2009, 707,462 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 3,874,000$. During the year ended September 30, 2010, 1,225,019 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 14,471,000$. During the year ended September $30,2011,229,575$ shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 3,541,000$. During the year ended September 30, 2012, 505,067 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 30,000,000$. All repurchased shares have been retired. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, no shares were purchased under the program. As of June 30, 2013, approximately $\$ 18,114,000$ may yet be expended under the program.

## 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan)

Under the 2006 Plan, as amended, $10,000,000$ shares of common stock were available for issuance. At September 30, 2011, there were 3,152,804 shares remaining reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2006 Plan. During fiscal year 2012, the Company granted options to purchase 181,783 shares to employees and directors with exercise prices between $\$ 31.37$ and $\$ 42.31$, and options to purchase 78,148 shares were forfeited. During fiscal year 2012, the Company granted 633,647 restricted shares to employees and directors at prices ranging from $\$ 31.37$ to $\$ 52.55$, and 138,052 restricted shares were forfeited. At September 30, 2012, there were 2,553,574 shares remaining reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2006 Plan. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, the Company issued options to purchase 89,092 shares to employees and directors at prices ranging from $\$ 38.09$ to $\$ 46.72$, and options to purchase 32,244 shares were forfeited. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, the Company issued 616,158 restricted shares to employees and directors at prices ranging from $\$ 31.63$ to $\$ 42.47$, and 104,860 restricted shares were forfeited. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, the Company cancelled 281,500 restricted shares to a non-employee that vest based on performance conditions. At June 30, 2013, there were $2,056,928$ shares remaining reserved for issuance in connection with awards under the 2006 Plan. The maximum number of shares subject to options or stock appreciation rights that can be awarded under the 2006 Plan to any person is $1,000,000$ per year. The maximum number of shares that can be awarded under the 2006 Plan to any person, other than pursuant to an option or stock appreciation right, is 700,000 per year. These shares and options generally vest over a period of one to four years conditioned on continued employment for the incentive period.
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## 9. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

## Stock Option Activity

A summary of the Company's stock option activity for the year ended September 30, 2012, the three months ended December 31, 2012, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, is as follows:


|  | $2,894,547$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Options granted | 181,783 | 11.55 |
| Options exercised | $(1,322,387)$ | 14.42 |
| Options canceled | $(78,148)$ | 12.72 |
| Options outstanding at September 30, 2012 | $1,675,795$ | 13.84 |
| Options granted | 59,322 | 42.37 |
| Options exercised | $(20,776)$ | 10.04 |
| Options canceled | $(3,208)$ | 25.06 |
| Options outstanding at December 31, 2012 | $1,711,133$ | 14.86 |
| Options granted | - | - |
| Options exercised | $(27,479)$ | 10.73 |
| Options canceled | $(29,036)$ | 17.96 |
| Options outstanding at March 31, 2013 | $1,654,618$ | 14.87 |
| Options granted | 29,770 | 40.11 |
| Options exercised | $(72,573)$ | 12.27 |
| Options canceled | - | - |
| Options outstanding at June 30, 2013 | $1,611,815$ | 15.45 |
| Options exercisable at June 30, 2013 | $1,202,947$ | 13.29 |

The intrinsic value and weighted average remaining contractual life in years of outstanding and exercisable options at June 30, 2013 is approximately $\$ 31,929,000$ and 5.88 and $\$ 26,003,000$ and 5.44 , respectively, based on a stock price of $\$ 34.67$ on June 30 , 2013. Over the last three years, volatility rates have ranged from $53.50 \%-67.54 \%$, a dividend rate of $0 \%$, risk free interest rates have ranged from $0.14 \%-1.67 \%$, and expected forfeiture rates have ranged from $18.42 \%-19.70 \%$.
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 Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)
## 9. Stockholders' Equity (Continued)

## Restricted Share Activity

A summary of the Company's restricted share activity for the year ended September 30, 2012, the three months ended December 31, 2012, March 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, is as follows

|  | Restricted <br> Shares | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Fair Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Unvested restricted shares at September 30, 2011 | $1,294,082$ | $\$ 3.13$ |
| Restricted shares granted | 633,647 | 34.05 |
| Restricted shares vested | $(390,068)$ | 12.53 |
| Restricted shares canceled | $(138,052)$ | 15.70 |
| Unvested restricted shares at September 30, 2012 | $1,399,609$ | 22.51 |
| Restricted shares granted | 679,509 | 39.48 |
| Restricted shares vested | $(342,494)$ | 17.62 |
| Restricted shares canceled | $(114,883)$ | 30.62 |
| Unvested restricted shares at December 31, 2012 | $1,621,741$ | 30.08 |
| Restricted shares granted | 119,711 | 34.17 |
| Restricted shares vested | $(68,518)$ | 32.23 |
| Restricted shares canceled | $(66,894)$ | 28.43 |
| Unvested restricted shares at March 31, 2013 | $1,606,040$ | 30.36 |
| Restricted shares granted | 26,938 | 33.87 |
| Restricted shares vested | $(23,288)$ | 36.73 |
| Restricted shares canceled | $(204,583)$ | 40.45 |
| Unvested restricted shares at June 30, 2013 | $1,405,107$ | 28.86 |

The intrinsic value and weighted average remaining contractual life in years of unvested restricted shares at June 30,2013 is approximately $\$ 48,715,000$ and 8.37 , respectively, based on a stock price of $\$ 34.67$ on June $30,2013$.
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## Liquidity Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements - (Continued)

## 10. Fair Value Measurement

The Company measures and records in the accompanying consolidated financial statements certain liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. Authoritative guidance issued by the FASB establishes a fair value hierarchy for those instruments measured at fair value that distinguishes between
assumptions based on market data (observable inputs) and the Company's assumptions (unobservable inputs). On January 1, 2012, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement: Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS, which amended FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement. Adoption of the disclosure requirements did not have a material impact on our financial position or results of operations. The hierarchy consists of three levels:

Level $1 \quad$ Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 inputs that are either directly or indirectly observable; and
Level 3 Unobservable inputs developed using estimates and assumptions developed by the Company, which reflect those that a market participant would use.

As of June 30, 2013 and September 30, 2012, the Company had no Level 1 or Level 2 assets or liabilities that were recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. As of September 30, 2012, the Company's $\$ 14,511,000$ liability for the earn-out related to the Jacobs Trading Company acquisition, and, as of June 30, 2013, the $\$ 18,299,000$ liability for the earn-out related to the National Electronic Service Association acquisition are the only liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy. The changes in liabilities measured at fair value for which the Company has used Level 3 inputs to determine fair value for the year ended September 30, 2012 and the nine months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows ( $\$$ in thousands):

|  | Level 3 <br> Liabilities |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | 10,151 |
| Acquisition contingent consideration | 8,185 |
| Settlements | $(3,162)$ |
| Increase (decrease) of contingent consideration | $(663)$ |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | 14,511 |
| Acquisition contingent consideration | 18,050 |
| Settlements | $(19,608)$ |
| Increase (decrease) of contingent consideration | 5,346 |
| Balance at June 30, 2013 | $\boxed{18,299}$ |

When valuing its Level 3 liabilities, the Company gives consideration to operating results, financial condition, economic and/or market events, and other pertinent information that would impact its estimate of the expected earn-out payment. The valuation procedures are primarily based on management's projection of EBITDA for the acquired businesses and applying a discount to the expected earn out payments to estimate fair value. Discount rates range from $2.0 \%$ to $6.0 \%$ and are based on the Company's cost of borrowing. Changes in the discount rate are not expected to have a material impact on the fair value of these liabilities. Because of the inherent uncertainty, this estimated value may differ significantly from the value that would have been used had a ready market for the liability existed, and it is reasonably possible that the difference could be material. Changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 liabilities are recorded in Acquisition Costs in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The Company's financial assets not measured at fair value are cash and cash equivalents (which includes cash and commercial paper with original maturities of less than 90 days). The Company believes the carrying value approximates fair value due to the short term maturity of these instruments.
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## 11. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

Certain employees of GoIndustry, which the Company acquired in July 2012, are covered by a qualified defined benefit pension plan.
The net periodic benefit cost recognized for the periods indicated included the following components:
Qualified Defined Benefit Pension Plan
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This document contains forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions. The outcome of the events described in these forwardlooking statements is subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to differ materially from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These risks and other factors include but are not limited to the factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 and subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "would," "expects,"" intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential," "continues" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. There may be other factors of which we are currently unaware or deem immaterial that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf apply only as of the date of this document and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this document. Except as may be required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances occurring after the date of this document or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes and the information contained elsewhere in this document.

## Overview

About us. We operate leading auction marketplaces for surplus and salvage assets. We enable buyers and sellers to transact in an efficient, online auction environment offering over 500 product categories. Our marketplaces provide professional buyers access to a global, organized supply of surplus and salvage assets presented with customer focused information including digital images and other relevant product information along with services to efficiently complete the transaction. Additionally, we enable our corporate and government sellers to enhance their financial return on excess assets by providing liquid marketplaces and value-added services that integrate sales and marketing, logistics and transaction settlement into a single offering. We organize our products into categories across major industry verticals such as consumer electronics, general merchandise, apparel, scientific equipment, aerospace parts and equipment, technology hardware, energy equipment, industrial capital assets, fleet and transportation equipment and specialty equipment. Our online marketplaces are www.liquidation.com, www.govliquidation.com, www.govdeals.com, www.networkintl.com, www.truckcenter.com, www.secondipity.com, and www.go-dove.com.

We believe our ability to create liquid marketplaces for surplus and salvage assets generates a continuous flow of goods from our corporate and government sellers. This flow of goods in turn attracts an increasing number of professional buyers to our marketplaces. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2013 , the number of registered buyers grew from approximately $1,764,000$ to approximately $2,360,000$, or $33.8 \%$.

Recent initiatives. On November 1, 2012, we completed the acquisition of the assets of National Electronic Service Association (NESA). The acquisition price included an upfront cash payment of approximately $\$ 18,300,000$ and an earn-out payment. Under the terms of the agreement, the earn-out is based on EBITDA earned by NESA during the $36-48$ months after closing. Our estimate of the fair value of the earn-out as of the date of acquisition was $\$ 18.0$ million. NESA is a Canadian provider of returns management, refurbishment and reverse logistics services for high-value consumer products. NESA provides expertise and focused services to Fortune 1000 companies in the management of Consumer Electronics, Telecommunications, and Information Technology products. We continue to integrate NESA according to our original implementation plan, which is on schedule.

During the three months ended June 30, 2013, we made significant progress implementing our restructuring plan for GoIndustry, which we acquired in July 2012. We closed non performing locations and rationalized the remaining supporting infrastructure, while maintaining the key elements of the organization that have consistently provided a high level of service to our Fortune 1,000 clients. We expect to complete our restructuring plan over the next three months, and we believe these changes will enable the GoIndustry marketplace to achieve profitable operations in fiscal year 2014.
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Our revenue. We generate substantially all of our revenue by retaining a percentage of the proceeds from the sales we manage for our sellers. We offer our sellers three primary transaction models: a profit-sharing model, a consignment model and a purchase model.

Profit-sharing model. Under our profit-sharing model, we purchase inventory from our suppliers and share with them a portion of the profits received from a completed sale in the form of a distribution. Distributions are calculated based on the value received from the sale after deducting direct costs, such as sales and marketing, technology and operations and other general and administrative costs. Because we are the primary obligor, and take general and physical inventory risks and credit risk under this transaction model, we recognize as revenue the sale price paid by the buyer upon completion of a transaction. Revenue from our profit-sharing model accounted for approximately $14.7 \%$ and 13.6 $\%$ of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013. The merchandise sold under our profit-sharing model accounted for approximately $7.9 \%$ and $7.1 \%$ of our gross merchandise volume, or GMV, for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Consignment model - fee revenue. Under our consignment model, we recognize commission revenue from sales of merchandise in our marketplaces that is owned by others. These commissions, which we refer to as seller commissions, represent a percentage of the sale price the buyer pays upon completion of a transaction. We vary the percentage amount of the seller commission depending on the various value-added services we provide to the seller to facilitate the transaction. For example, we generally increase the percentage amount of the commission if we take possession, handle, ship or provide enhanced product information for the merchandise. We collect the seller commission by deducting the appropriate amount from the sales proceeds prior to their distribution to the seller after completion of the transaction. Revenue from our consignment model, as well as other fee revenue, accounted for approximately $19.7 \%$ and $18.4 \%$ of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013. The merchandise sold under our consignment model accounted for approximately $57.6 \%$ and $58.1 \%$ of our GMV for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013.

Purchase model. Under our purchase model, we offer our sellers a fixed amount or the option to share a portion of the proceeds received from our completed sales in the form of a distribution. Distributions are calculated based on the value we receive from the sale after deducting a required return to us that we have negotiated with the seller. Because we are the primary obligor, and take general and physical inventory risks and credit risk under this transaction model, we recognize as revenue the sale price paid by the buyer upon completion of a transaction. Revenue
from our purchase model accounted for approximately $65.6 \%$ and $68.0 \%$ of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30 , 2013. The merchandise sold under our purchase model accounted for approximately $34.5 \%$ and $34.8 \%$ of our GMV for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013.

We collect a buyer premium on substantially all of our transactions under all of our transaction models. Buyer premiums are calculated as a percentage of the sale price of the merchandise sold and are paid to us by the buyer. Buyer premiums are in addition to the price of the merchandise. Under our profit-sharing model, we typically share the proceeds of any buyer premiums with our sellers.

Industry trends. We believe there are several industry trends impacting the growth of our business including: (1) the increase in the adoption of the Internet by businesses to conduct e-commerce both in the United States and abroad; (2) in the long term product innovation in the retail supply chain has increased the pace of product obsolescence and, therefore, the supply of surplus assets; (3) the increase in the volume of returned merchandise handled by both online and offline retailers; (4) the increase in government regulations necessitating verifiable recycling and remarketing of surplus assets; (5) the increase in outsourcing by corporate and government organizations of disposition activities for surplus and end-of-life assets; (6) as a result of the economic downturn, an increase in buyer demand for surplus merchandise as consumers trade down by purchasing less expensive goods and seek greater value from their purchases, which results in lower per unit prices and margins in our retail goods marketplace; and (7) in the near term, the decrease in the volume and price of consumer electronic products, resulting in lower supply from our retail clients and lower per unit prices and margins in our retail goods marketplace.
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## Our Seller Agreements

Our DoD agreements. We have two contracts with the DoD pursuant to which we acquire, manage and sell excess property:
Surplus Contract. In June 2001, we were awarded the original Surplus Contract, a competitive-bid exclusive contract under which we acquire, manage and sell all usable DoD surplus personal property turned into the DLA Disposition Services. Surplus property generally consists of items determined by the DoD to be no longer needed, and not claimed for reuse by, any federal agency, such as computers, electronics, office supplies, scientific and medical equipment, aircraft parts, clothing and textiles. On November 6, 2008, the DoD extended the original Surplus Contract through December 17, 2008, the original Contract wind down period was completed during fiscal year 2010. We responded to a RFP from the DLA Disposition Services regarding a renewal of the Surplus Contract, and we were awarded the contract. We executed the new Contract on December 18, 2008. The new Surplus Contract expires in February 2014. Revenue from our Surplus Contract (including buyer premiums) accounted for approximately $26.0 \%$ and $27.5 \%$ of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013. The property sold under our Surplus Contract accounted for approximately $14.0 \%$ and $14.3 \%$ of our GMV for the three and nine months ended June $30,2013$.

Scrap Contract. In June 2005, we were awarded a competitive-bid exclusive contract under which we acquire, manage and sell substantially all scrap property of the DoD turned into the DLA Disposition Services. Scrap property generally consists of items determined by the DoD to have no use beyond their base material content, such as metals, alloys, and building materials. We were required to pay $\$ 5.7$ million to the DoD in fiscal 2005 for the right to manage the operations and remarket scrap material in connection with the Scrap Contract. Following the DoD's exercise of its first two renewal options, the Scrap Contact expires in June 2014. Revenue from our Scrap Contract (including buyer premiums) accounted for approximately $14.7 \%$ and $13.6 \%$ of our total revenue for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013. The property sold under our Scrap Contract accounted for approximately $7.9 \%$ and $7.1 \%$ of our GMV for the three and nine months ended June $30,2013$.

Under the new Surplus Contract, as amended, we are obligated to purchase all DoD surplus property at $1.8 \%$ of Disposition Services' original acquisition value. The DoD has broad discretion to determine what property will be made available for sale to us under the new Surplus Contract and may retrieve or restrict property previously sold to us for national security reasons or if the property is otherwise needed to support the mission of the DoD.

Under the Scrap Contract, we acquire scrap property at a per pound price. We disburse to the DLA Disposition Services $77 \%$ of the profits realized from the ultimate sale of the inventory, after deduction for allowable expenses, as provided for under the terms of the contract. We refer to these disbursement payments to the DoD as profit-sharing distributions. As a result of these arrangements, we recognize as revenue the gross proceeds from these sales. The DoD also reimburses us for actual costs incurred for packing, loading and shipping property under the Scrap and original Surplus Contracts that we are obligated to pick up from non-DoD locations. We also have a small business performance incentive based on the number of scrap buyers that are small businesses that allows us to receive up to an additional $2 \%$ of the profit sharing distribution. We earned a performance incentive for the 12 months ended June 30, 2013, of approximately $\$ 1,265,000$, in the quarter ended June 30, 2013. The performance incentive is recorded in Profit-sharing distributions in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. On May 21, 2007, we entered into a bilateral contract modification under which the DoD agreed to increase our profit-sharing distribution for the Scrap Contract from $20 \%$ to $23 \%$ effective June 1, 2007, in exchange for our agreement to implement additional inventory assurance processes and procedures with respect to the mutilation of demilitarized scrap property sold.

During April 2013, the DoD issued a Request For Information (RFI) for the Surplus and Scrap Contracts.

Our Wal-Mart Contracts. We have various contracts with Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., pursuant to which we have the exclusive right to purchase certain consumer products from Wal-Mart that have been removed from the sales stream of its retail operations. All of these agreements have customary commercial terms, which generally expire within a year and allow both parties to terminate for convenience with reasonable notice. As a result of the Jacobs Trading acquisition, we also have a long-term contract with Wal-Mart that does not provide for termination for convenience. The term of this agreement expires on May 16, 2016 and thereafter continues on a month to month basis.

Our commercial agreements. We have over 600 corporate clients each of which has sold in excess of $\$ 10,000$ of surplus and salvage assets in our marketplaces during the last twelve months. Our agreements with these clients are generally terminable at will by either party.

Our management periodically reviews certain key business metrics for operational planning purposes and to evaluate the effectiveness of our operational strategies, allocation of resources and our capacity to fund capital expenditures and expand our business. These key business metrics include:

Gross merchandise volume. Gross merchandise volume, or GMV, is the total sales value of all merchandise sold through our marketplaces during a given period. We review GMV because it provides a measure of the volume of goods being sold in our marketplaces and thus the activity of those marketplaces. GMV also provides a means to evaluate the effectiveness of investments that we have made and continue to make, including in the areas of customer support, value-added services, product development, sales and marketing, and operations. The GMV of goods sold in our marketplaces during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013 totaled $\$ 230.3$ million and $\$ 722.8$ million, respectively.

Completed transactions. Completed transactions represents the number of auctions in a given period from which we have recorded revenue. Similar to GMV, we believe that completed transactions is a key business metric because it provides an additional measurement of the volume of activity flowing through our marketplaces. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2013, we completed approximately 130,000 and 397,000 transactions, respectively.

Total registered buyers. We grow our buyer base through a combination of marketing and promotional efforts. A person becomes a registered buyer by completing an online registration process on one of our marketplaces. As part of this process, we collect business and personal information, including name, title, company name, business address and contact information, and information on how the person intends to use our marketplaces. Each prospective buyer must also accept our terms and conditions of use. Following the completion of the online registration process, we verify each prospective buyer's email address and confirm that the person is not listed on any banned persons list maintained internally or by the U.S. federal government. After the verification process, which is completed generally within 24 hours, the registration is approved and activated and the prospective buyer is added to our registered buyer list.

Total registered buyers, as of a given date, represents the aggregate number of persons or entities who have registered on one of our marketplaces. We use this metric to evaluate how well our marketing and promotional efforts are performing. Total registered buyers excludes duplicate registrations, buyers who are suspended from utilizing our marketplaces and those buyers who have voluntarily removed themselves from our registration database. In addition, if we become aware of registered buyers that are no longer in business, we remove them from our database. As of June 30, 2013, we had approximately $2,360,000$ registered buyers.

Total auction participants. For each auction we manage, the number of auction participants represents the total number of registered buyers who have bid one or more times in that auction. As a result, a registered buyer who bids, or participates, in more than one auction is counted as an auction participant in each auction in which he or she participates. Thus, total auction participants for a given period is the sum of the auction participants in each auction conducted during that period. We use this metric to allow us to compare our online auction marketplaces to our competitors, including other online auction sites and traditional on-site auctioneers. In addition, we measure total auction participants on a periodic basis to evaluate the activity level of our base of registered buyers and to measure the performance of our marketing and promotional efforts. For the three and nine months ended June 30 , 2013, approximately 623,000 and $1,832,000$ total auction participants participated in auctions on our marketplaces, respectively.
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## Non-GAAP Financial Measures

EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA. EBITDA is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure and is equal to net income plus interest and other expense (income), net; provision for income taxes; amortization of contract intangibles; and depreciation and amortization. Our definition of adjusted EBITDA differs from EBITDA because we further adjust EBITDA for stock-based compensation expense and acquisition costs.

We believe EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA are useful to an investor in evaluating our performance for the following reasons:

The amortization of contract intangibles relates to amortization of the Scrap Contract beginning in June 2005 and the contract related intangible assets associated with the Jacobs Trading acquisition on October 1, 2011 and the NESA acquisition on November 1, 2012. Depreciation and amortization expense primarily relates to property and equipment. Both of these expenses are non-cash charges that have fluctuated significantly over the past five years. As a result, we believe that adding back these non-cash charges to net income is useful in evaluating the operating performance of our business on a consistent basis from year-to-year.

As a result of varying federal, state and foreign income tax rates, we believe that presenting a financial measure that adjusts net income for provision for income taxes is useful to investors when evaluating the operating performance of our business.

The authoritative guidance requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their estimated fair values. Accordingly, we believe adjusting net income for this non-cash stock based compensation expense is useful to investors when evaluating the operating performance of our business.

The authoritative guidance related to business combinations requires the recognition of contingent consideration so that it is recognized at the time of acquisition rather than when it is probable and disallows the capitalization of transaction costs. Accordingly, we believe adjusting net income for these acquisition related expenses is useful to investors when evaluating the operating performance of our business on a consistent basis from year-to-year.

We believe these measures are important indicators of our operational strength and the performance of our business because they provide a link between profitability and operating cash flow.

We also believe that analysts and investors use EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA as supplemental measures to evaluate the overall operating performance of companies in our industry.
as measurements of operating performance because they assist us in comparing our operating performance on a consistent basis as they remove the impact of items not directly resulting from our core operations;
for planning purposes, including the preparation of our internal annual operating budget;
to allocate resources to enhance the financial performance of our business;
to evaluate the effectiveness of our operational strategies; and
to evaluate our capacity to fund capital expenditures and expand our business.
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EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA as calculated by us are not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies. In addition, EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA: (a) do not represent net income or cash flows from operating activities as defined by GAAP; (b) are not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund our cash flow needs; and (c) should not be considered as alternatives to net income, income from operations, cash provided by operating activities or our other financial information as determined under GAAP.

We prepare adjusted EBITDA by adjusting EBITDA to eliminate the impact of items that we do not consider indicative of our core operating performance. You are encouraged to evaluate these adjustments and the reasons we consider them appropriate for supplemental analysis. As an analytical tool, adjusted EBITDA is subject to all of the limitations applicable to EBITDA. Our presentation of adjusted EBITDA should not be construed as an implication that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items.

The table below reconciles net income to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Nine Months <br> Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
|  | (in thousands) (unaudited) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 11,288 | , | 14,863 | \$ | 30,695 | \$ | 42,751 |
| Interest and other expense (income), net |  | 56 |  | 517 |  | (772) |  | 1,625 |
| Provision for income taxes |  | 7,525 |  | 9,909 |  | 20,822 |  | 29,025 |
| Amortization of contract intangibles |  | 2,407 |  | 2,020 |  | 7,023 |  | 6,059 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 1,984 |  | 1,477 |  | 5,952 |  | 4,508 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBITDA |  | 23,260 |  | 28,786 |  | 63,720 |  | 83,968 |
| Stock compensation expense |  | 2,927 |  | 3,537 |  | 10,229 |  | 8,655 |
| Acquisition costs |  | 239 |  | 1,109 |  | 5,826 |  | $(5,562)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ | 26,426 | \$ | 33,432 | \$ | 79,775 | \$ | 87,061 |

## Critical Accounting Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. A "critical accounting estimate" is one which is both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results and requires management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We continuously evaluate our critical accounting estimates. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Revenue recognition. For transactions in our online marketplaces, which generate substantially all of our revenue, we recognize revenue when all of the following criteria are met:

- a buyer submits the winning bid in an auction and, as a result, evidence of an arrangement exists and the sale price has been determined;
- title has passed to a buyer and the buyer has assumed risks and rewards of ownership; and
collection is reasonably assured.
Substantially all of our sales are recorded subsequent to payment authorization being received, utilizing credit cards, wire transfers and PayPal, an Internet based payment system, as methods of payments. As a result, we are not subject to significant collection risk, as goods are generally not shipped before payment is received.
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Revenue is also evaluated for reporting revenue of gross proceeds as the principal in the arrangement or net of commissions as an agent. In arrangements in which we are deemed to be the primary obligor, bear physical and general inventory risk, and credit risk, we recognize as revenue the gross proceeds from the sale, including buyer's premiums. Arrangements in which we act as an agent or broker on a consignment basis, without taking general or
physical inventory risk, revenue is recognized based on the sales commissions that are paid to us by the sellers for utilizing our services; in this situation, sales commissions represent a percentage of the gross proceeds from the sale that the seller pays to us upon completion of the transaction.

We have evaluated our revenue recognition policy related to sales under our profit-sharing model and determined it is appropriate to account for these sales on a gross basis. The following factors were most heavily relied upon in our determination:

We are the primary obligor in the arrangement.

- We are the seller in substance and in appearance to the buyer; the buyer contacts us if there is a problem with the purchase. Only we and the buyer are parties to the sales contract and the buyer has no recourse to the supplier. If the buyer has a problem, he or she looks to us, not the supplier.
- The buyer does not and cannot look to the supplier for fulfillment or for product acceptability concerns.

We have general inventory risk.

- We take title to the inventory upon paying the amount set forth in the contract with the supplier. Such amount is generally a percentage of the supplier's original acquisition cost and varies depending on the type of the inventory purchased or a fixed price per pound under our Scrap Contract.
- We are at risk of loss for all amounts paid to the supplier in the event the property is damaged or otherwise becomes unsaleable. In addition, as payments made for inventory are excluded from the calculation for the profit-sharing distribution under our DoD contracts, we effectively bear inventory risk for the full amount paid to acquire the property (i.e., there is no sharing of inventory risk).

Business Combinations. We recognize all of the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contractual contingencies, and contingent consideration at their fair value on the acquisition date. Acquisition-related costs are recognized separately from the acquisition and expensed as incurred. Subsequent changes to the purchase price (i.e., working capital adjustments) or other fair value adjustments determined during the measurement period are recorded as an adjustment to goodwill. All subsequent changes to a valuation allowance or uncertain tax position that relate to the acquired company and existed at the acquisition date that occur both within the measurement period and as a result of facts and circumstances that existed at the acquisition date are recognized as an adjustment to goodwill. All other changes in valuation allowances are recognized as a reduction or increase to income tax expense or as a direct adjustment to additional paid-in capital as required.

Valuation of goodwill and other intangible assets. We identify and value intangible assets that we acquire in business combinations, such as customer arrangements, customer relationships and non-compete agreements, that arise from contractual or other legal rights or that are capable of being separated or divided from the acquired entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged. The fair value of identified intangible assets is based upon an estimate of the future economic benefits expected to result from ownership, which represents the amount at which the assets could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

We test our goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment annually or more frequently if events or circumstances indicate impairment may exist. Examples of such events or circumstances could include a significant change in business climate or a loss of significant customers. We apply a two-step fair value-based test to assess goodwill for impairment. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step is then performed. The second step compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill to the fair value of the goodwill. If the fair value of the goodwill is less than the carrying amount, an impairment loss would be recorded in our statements of operations. Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives and are also reviewed for impairment if events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be realizable.
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Our management makes certain estimates and assumptions in order to determine the fair value of net assets and liabilities, including, among other things, an assessment of market conditions, projected cash flows, cost of capital and growth rates, which could significantly impact the reported value of goodwill and other intangible assets. Estimating future cash flows requires significant judgment, and our projections may vary from cash flows eventually realized. The valuations employ a combination of present value techniques to measure fair value, corroborated by comparisons to estimated market multiples. These valuations are based on a discount rate determined by our management to be consistent with industry discount rates and the risks inherent in our current business model.

We cannot predict the occurrence of certain future events that might adversely affect the reported value of goodwill and other intangible assets, which totaled $\$ 240.5$ million at June 30, 2013. Such events may include strategic decisions made in response to economic and competitive conditions, the impact of the economic environment on our base of buyers and sellers or material negative changes in our relationships with material customers.

Income taxes. We account for income taxes using the asset and liability approach for measuring deferred taxes based on temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities existing at each balance sheet date using enacted tax rates for the years in which the taxes are expected to be paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is provided to reduce the deferred tax assets to a level that we believe will more likely than not be realized. The resulting net deferred tax asset reflects management's estimate of the amount that will be realized.

We apply the guidance related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. We concluded that there were no uncertain tax positions identified during its analysis.

We provide for income taxes based on our estimate of federal and state tax liabilities. These estimates include, among other items, effective rates for state and local income taxes, estimates related to depreciation and amortization expense allowable for tax purposes, and the tax deductibility of certain other items. Our estimates are based on the information available to us at the time we prepare the income tax provision. We generally file our annual income tax returns several months after our fiscal year-end. Income tax returns are subject to audit by federal, state and local governments, generally years after the returns are filed. These returns could be subject to material adjustments or differing interpretations of the tax laws.

Stock-based compensation. We recognize in the statements of operations all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their estimated fair values. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair values of share-based payments.

The above list is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our accounting estimates. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP, with little need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting any available alternative would not produce a materially different result. See our audited financial statements and related notes, which contain accounting policies and other disclosures required by GAAP.

## Components of Revenue and Expenses

Revenue. We generate substantially all of our revenue from sales of merchandise held in inventory and by retaining a percentage of the proceeds from the sales. Our revenue recognition practices are discussed in more detail in the section above entitled "Critical Accounting Estimates."

Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization). Cost of goods sold includes the costs of purchasing and transporting property for auction, as well as credit card transaction fees.

Profit-sharing distributions. Our Scrap Contract with the DoD has been structured as a profit-sharing arrangement in which we purchase and take possession of all goods we receive from the DoD at a contractual per pound price. After deducting allowable operating expenses, we disburse to the DoD on a monthly basis a percentage of the profits of the aggregate monthly sales. We retain the remaining percentage of these profits after the DoD's disbursement. We refer to these disbursement payments to the DoD as profit-sharing distributions.

Technology and operations. Technology expenses consist primarily of personnel costs related to our programming staff who develop and deploy new marketplaces and continuously enhance existing marketplaces. These personnel also develop and upgrade the software systems that support our operations, such as sales processing. Because our marketplaces and support systems require frequent upgrades and enhancements to maintain viability, we have determined that the useful life for substantially all of our internally developed software is less than one year. As a result, we expense these costs as incurred.
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Operations expenses consist primarily of operating costs, including buyer relations, shipping logistics and distribution center operating costs.
Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses include the cost of our sales and marketing personnel as well as the cost of marketing and promotional activities. These activities include online marketing campaigns such as paid search advertising.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses include all corporate and administrative functions that support our operations and provide an infrastructure to facilitate our future growth. Components of these expenses include executive management and staff salaries, bonuses and related taxes and employee benefits; travel; headquarters rent and related occupancy costs; and legal and accounting fees. The salaries, bonus and employee benefits costs included as general and administrative expenses are generally more fixed in nature than our operating expenses and do not vary directly with the volume of merchandise sold through our marketplaces.

Amortization of contract intangibles. Amortization of contract intangibles expense consists of the amortization of our Scrap Contract awarded during June 2005 and our contract intangibles associated with the Jacobs Trading acquisition on October 1, 2011, and the NESA transaction on November 1, 2012. The Scrap Contract required us to purchase the rights to operate the scrap operations of the DoD during the seven year base term of the contract. The intangible asset created from the $\$ 5.7$ million purchase is being amortized over 84 months on a straight-line basis. The amortization period is correlated to the base term of the contract, exclusive of renewal periods. The intangible asset created in conjunction with the acquisition of Jacobs Trading is valued at $\$ 33.3$ million and is being amortized over 55 months on a straight-line basis. The amortization period is correlated to the base term of the Wal-Mart contract from the acquisition date, exclusive of renewal periods. The vendor contract intangible asset created in conjunction with the NESA acquisition is valued at $\$ 3.9$ million and is being amortized over 20 months, on a straight-line basis. The amortization period is correlated to the base term of the contract, from the acquisition date, exclusive of renewal periods.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses consist primarily of the depreciation and amortization of amounts recorded in connection with the purchase of furniture, fixtures and equipment.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs consist of expenses incurred to complete a business combination and adjustments to the fair value of earn-outs.
Interest and other (expense) income, net. Interest expense and other expense, net consists primarily of interest expense on borrowings under our subordinated note payable.

Income taxes. During fiscal years 2011 and 2012, we had an effective income tax rate for continuing operations of approximately $43 \%$ and $40 \%$, respectively, which included federal, state and foreign income taxes. We estimate that our future effective income tax rate will be approximately $40 \%$.
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## Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, selected statement of operations data expressed as a percentage of revenue.

|  | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) | 40.2 | 40.6 | 39.0 | 41.8 |
| Profit-sharing distributions | 7.0 | 8.5 | 7.2 | 9.7 |
| Technology and operations | 17.6 | 13.1 | 17.7 | 13.4 |
| Sales and marketing | 8.2 | 6.1 | 8.1 | 5.9 |
| General and administrative | 8.1 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 7.0 |
| Amortization of contract intangibles | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Acquisition costs | 0.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | (1.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total costs and expenses | 84.8 | 79.2 | 86.5 | 79.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income from operations | 15.2 | 20.8 | 13.5 | 20.8 |
| Interest and other (expense) income, net | (0.0) | (0.4) | 0.2 | (0.5) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income from operations before provision for income taxes | 15.2 | 20.4 | 13.7 | 20.3 |
| Provision for income taxes | (6.1) | (8.1) | (5.5) | (8.2) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income from operations | 9.1\% | 12.3\% | 8.2\% | 12.1\% |

## Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Revenue. Revenue increased $\$ 2.9$ million, or $2.4 \%$, to $\$ 124.2$ million for the three months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 121.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. This was due to (1) a $7.7 \%$ increase, or $\$ 4.9$ million, in our commercial marketplaces as a result of the acquisitions of GoIndustry on July 1, 2012, and NESA on November 1, 2012; and (2) a $37.1 \%$ increase, or $\$ 1.3$ million, in our state and local government (GovDeals) marketplace. These increases were offset in part by (1) an $8.1 \%$ decrease, of $\$ 1.6$ million in our DoD Scrap Contract; and (2) a $7.7 \%$ decrease, or $\$ 1.7$ million decrease in our Surplus Contract, as a result of decreasing property flow from the DoD The amount of gross merchandise volume increased $\$ 4.7$ million, or $2.1 \%$, to $\$ 230.3$ million for the three months ended June 30 , 2013 from $\$ 225.6$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to the growth in the GovDeals marketplace and the acquisition of Go-Industry, offset in part by the decreases in the DoD Contracts discussed above.

Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization). Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) increased $\$ 0.8$ million, or $1.6 \%$, to $\$ 50.0$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 49.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, due to the growth in the commercial marketplaces. As a percentage of revenue, cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) decreased to $40.2 \%$ from $40.6 \%$, primarily due to the acquisition of GoIndustry which utilizes the consignment model.

Profit-sharing distributions. Profit-sharing distributions decreased $\$ 1.6$ million, or $15.6 \%$, to $\$ 8.6$ million for the three months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 10.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, profit-sharing distributions decreased to $7.0 \%$ from $8.5 \%$. These decreases are due to the decline in the Scrap Contract discussed above.
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Technology and operations expenses. Technology and operations expenses increased $\$ 5.9$ million, or $37.1 \%$, to $\$ 21.8$ million for the three months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 15.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, technology and operations expenses increased to $17.6 \%$ from $13.1 \%$. These increases are due to (1) expenses of $\$ 4.2$ million from the acquisitions of Go-Industry and NESA; and (2) expenses of $\$ 1.7$ million in staff and temporary wages, including stock based compensation, and consultant fees associated with technology infrastructure projects.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased $\$ 2.7$ million, or $37.5 \%$, to $\$ 10.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 7.4$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, sales and marketing expenses increased to $8.2 \%$ from $6.1 \%$. These increases are primarily due to expenses of $\$ 2.5$ million from the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $16.9 \%$, to $\$ 10.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 8.6$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to $8.1 \%$ from $7.1 \%$. These increases are due to (1) expenses of $\$ 1.9$ million from the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA; and (2) expenses of $\$ 0.4$ million in overhead expenses; offset in part by a $\$ 0.8$ million decrease in staff wages primarily related to performance based compensation.

Amortization of contract intangibles. Amortization of contract intangibles is primarily related to the contract intangible asset created in conjunction with the Jacobs Trading acquisition which was valued at $\$ 33.3$ million and is being amortized over 55 months on a straight-line basis.

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased $\$ 0.5$ million, or $34.3 \%$, to $\$ 2.0$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 1.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to expenses of $\$ 0.4$ million for the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs decreased $\$ 0.9$ million, or $78.4 \%$, to $\$ 0.2$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 1.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, primarily as a result of the acquisition of GoIndustry in July, 2012.

Interest and other (expense) income, net. Interest and other (expense) income, net decreased $\$ 0.4$ million, or $89.2 \%$, to $\$ 0.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 0.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due the payoff of the $\$ 40$ million Jacobs Trading acquisition seller subordinated note in November 2012.

Provision for income tax expense. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 2.4$ million, or $24.1 \%$, to $\$ 7.5$ million for the three months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 9.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to the decrease in income before provision for income taxes.

Net income. Net income decreased $\$ 3.6$ million, or $24.1 \%$, to $\$ 11.3$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 14.9$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012.
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## Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012

Revenue. Revenue increased $\$ 23.7$ million, or $6.7 \%$, to $\$ 376.7$ million for the nine months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 353.0$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. This was primarily due to an $11.6 \%$ increase, or $\$ 21.9$ million, in our commercial marketplaces as a result of the acquisitions of GoIndustry on July 1, 2012, and NESA on November 1, 2012. The amount of gross merchandise volume increased $\$ 99.6$ million, or $16.0 \%$, to $\$ 722.8$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 623.2$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to the acquisition of GoIndustry.

Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization). Cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) decreased $\$ 0.5$ million, or $0.3 \%$, to $\$ 147.0$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 147.5$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, cost of goods sold (excluding amortization) decreased to $39.0 \%$ from $41.8 \%$. These decreases are primarily due to the acquisition of GoIndustry which utilizes the consignment model.

Profit-sharing distributions. Profit-sharing distributions decreased $\$ 7.1$ million, or $20.9 \%$, to $\$ 27.0$ million for the nine months ended June 30,2013 from $\$ 34.1$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, profit-sharing distributions decreased to $7.2 \%$ from $9.7 \%$. These decreases are due to a decline in our Scrap Contract.

Technology and operations expenses. Technology and operations expenses increased $\$ 19.3$ million, or $40.6 \%$, to $\$ 66.8$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 47.5$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, technology and operations expenses increased to $17.7 \%$ from $13.4 \%$. These increases are due to (1) expenses of $\$ 14.1$ million from the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA; and (2) expenses of $\$ 5.2$ million in staff and temporary wages, including stock based compensation, and consultant fees associated with technology infrastructure projects.

Sales and marketing expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased $\$ 9.6$ million, or $46.2 \%$, to $\$ 30.4$ million for the nine months ended June 30 , 2013 from $\$ 20.8$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, sales and marketing expenses increased to $8.1 \%$ from $5.9 \%$. These increases are due to (1) expenses of $\$ 8.8$ million from the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA; and (2) expenses of $\$ 0.8$ million in staff wages, including stock based compensation.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased $\$ 11.2$ million, or $45.5 \%$, to $\$ 35.9$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 24.7$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of revenue, general and administrative expenses increased to $9.5 \%$ from $7.0 \%$. These increases are due to (1) expenses of $\$ 8.6$ million from the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA; and (2) expenses of $\$ 2.6$ million in staff wages, including stock based compensation, and overhead expenses.

Amortization of contract intangibles. Amortization of contract intangibles is primarily related to the contract intangible asset created in conjunction with the Jacobs Trading acquisition which was valued at $\$ 33.3$ million and is being amortized over 55 months on a straight-line basis.

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $32.0 \%$, to $\$ 5.9$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 4.5$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to expenses of $\$ 1.2$ million for the acquisitions of GoIndustry and NESA.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased $\$ 11.4$ million to $\$ 5.8$ million of expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 5.6$ million of income for the nine months ending June 30, 2012, due to (1) recording an additional $\$ 5.3$ million for the Jacobs Trading and NESA earn out liabilities; and (2) the reversal of the $\$ 7.0$ millionTruckCenter.com earn out liability in March 2012, offset by $\$ 1.0$ million in expense for the acquisition of GoIndustry in July 2012.

Interest and other (expense) income, net. Interest and other (expense) income, net, decreased $\$ 2.4$ million to $\$ 0.8$ million of income for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 1.6$ million of expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to the early payoff of the $\$ 40$ million Jacobs Trading acquisition seller subordinated note in November 2012 which resulted in a $\$ 1.0$ million discount.
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Provision for income tax expense. Income tax expense decreased $\$ 8.2$ million, or $28.3 \%$, to $\$ 20.8$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 29.0$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012, primarily due to the decrease in income before provision for income taxes.

Net income. Net income decreased $\$ 12.1$ million, or $28.2 \%$, to $\$ 30.7$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 from $\$ 42.8$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2012.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our primary cash needs have been working capital (including capital used for inventory purchases), which we have funded primarily through cash generated from operations. As of June 30,2013 , we had approximately $\$ 63.3$ million in cash and cash equivalents and $\$ 70.5$ million available under our $\$ 75.0$ million senior credit facility, due to issued letters of credit for $\$ 4.5$ million; $\$ 1.0$ million of our availability under this facility is set aside as a contractual obligation under our DoD Scrap Contract.

On December 2, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a $\$ 10.0$ million share repurchase program. Under the program, we are authorized to repurchase the issued and outstanding shares of common stock. Share repurchases may be made through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, at times and in such amounts as management deems appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend
on a variety of factors including price, corporate and regulatory requirements and other market conditions. The repurchase program may be discontinued or suspended at any time, and will be funded using our available cash. On each of February 2, 2010, November 30, 2010 and May 3, 2011, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to an additional $\$ 10.0$ million in shares under the share repurchase program, and on May 17, 2012, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to an additional $\$ 30.0$ million in shares under the share repurchase program. Our Board of Directors reviews the share repurchase program periodically, the last such review having occurred in May 2012. During the year ended September 30, 2009, 707,462 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 3,874,000$. During the year ended September 30, 2010, 1,225,019 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 14,471,000$. During the year ended September 30, 2011, 229,575 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 3,541,000$. During the year ended September 30, 2012, 505,067 shares were purchased under the program for approximately $\$ 30,000,000$. All repurchased shares have been retired. During the nine months ended June 30, 2013, no shares were purchased under the program. As of June 30, 2013, approximately $\$ 18,114,000$ may yet be expended under the program.

Substantially all of our sales are recorded subsequent to receipt of payment authorization, utilizing credit cards, wire transfers and PayPal, an Internet based payment system, as methods of payments. As a result, we are not subject to significant collection risk, as goods are generally not shipped before payment is received.
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## Changes in Cash Flows: Nine Months Ended June 30, 2013 Compared to Nine Months Ended June 30, 2012

Net cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 16.8$ million and $\$ 39.3$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2013, net cash provided by operating activities primarily consisted of net income of $\$ 30.7$ million, depreciation and amortization expense of $\$ 13.0$ million, stock compensation expense of $\$ 10.2$ million, offset in part by a net increase in accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid assets of $\$ 9.0$ million, a net decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of $\$ 19.7$ million (including $\$ 11.4$ million for the payment of the Jacobs Trading earn-out), provisions for inventory allowance, doubtful accounts, and incremental tax from exercises of common stock options of $\$ 7.4$ million, net, and $\$ 1.0$ million from early extinguishment of debt. For the nine months ended June 30, 2012, net cash provided by operating activities primarily consisted of net income of $\$ 42.8$ million, depreciation and amortization expense of $\$ 10.6$ million, stock compensation expense of $\$ 8.6$ million and a net decrease in accounts receivable, inventory and prepaid assets of $\$ 7.9$ million, offset in part by a net decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of $\$ 14.4$ million, and provisions for inventory allowance, doubtful accounts, and incremental tax from exercises of common stock options of $\$ 16.2$ million, net.

Net cash used in investing activities was $\$ 18.6$ million and $\$ 82.9$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 consisted primarily of $\$ 14.7$ million for the acquisition of NESA and capital expenditures of $\$ 3.9$ million for purchases of equipment and leasehold improvements. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of $\$ 80.1$ million for the acquisition of Jacobs Trading and capital expenditures of $\$ 2.8$ million for purchases of equipment and leasehold improvements.

Net cash used in financing activities was $\$ 39.7$ million and $\$ 0.8$ million for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2013 consisted primarily of $\$ 39.0$ million for the repayment of the Jacobs Trading note payable and $\$ 8.2$ million for the payment of the Jacobs Trading earn-out, offset in part by the proceeds from the exercise of common stock options including the tax benefit of $\$ 7.5$ million. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2012 consisted primarily of $\$ 30.0$ million for stock repurchases, offset in part by proceeds from the exercise of common stock options including the tax benefit of $\$ 29.2$ million.

Capital Expenditures. Our capital expenditures consist primarily of computers and purchased software, office equipment, furniture and fixtures, and leasehold improvements. The timing and volume of such capital expenditures in the future will be affected by the addition of new customers or expansion of existing customer relationships. We expect capital expenditures to range from $\$ 6.0$ million to $\$ 7.0$ million in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2013. We intend to fund those expenditures primarily from operating cash flows. Our capital expenditures for the nine months ended June 30 , 2013 were $\$ 3.9$ million. As of June 30, 2013, we had no outstanding commitments for capital expenditures.

Senior credit facility. We maintain a $\$ 75.0$ million senior credit facility due May 31, 2014. The senior credit facility bears an annual interest rate of 30 day LIBOR plus $1.25 \%$. As of June 30,2013 , we had no outstanding indebtedness under our senior credit facility and our borrowing availability was $\$ 70.5$ million due to issued letters of credit for $\$ 4.5$ million; $\$ 1.0$ million of our availability under this facility is set aside as a contractual obligation under our DoD Scrap Contract. The obligations under our senior credit facility are unconditionally guaranteed by us and each of our existing and subsequently acquired or organized subsidiaries (other than our subsidiary organized to service our DoD Scrap Contract) and secured on a first priority basis by security interests (subject to permitted liens) in substantially all assets owned by us, and each of our other domestic subsidiaries, subject to limited exceptions. The agreement contains certain financial and non-financial restrictive covenants including, among others, the requirements to maintain a minimum level of earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) and a minimum debt coverage ratio. Our credit agreement contains a number of affirmative and restrictive covenants including limitations on mergers, consolidations and dissolutions, sales of assets, investments and acquisitions, indebtedness and liens, and dividends and other restricted payments. As of June 30, 2013, we were in full compliance with the terms and conditions of our credit agreement.

Subordinated note. In conjunction with the Jacobs Trading acquisition, we issued a $\$ 40,000,000$ seller subordinated $5 \%$ unsecured note. We repaid this note in November 2012.
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We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors including our rate of revenue growth, the timing and extent of spending to support development efforts, the expansion of sales and marketing activities, the development and deployment of new marketplaces, the introduction of new value added services and the costs to establish additional distribution centers. Although we are currently not a party to any definitive agreement with respect to potential investments in, or acquisitions of, complementary businesses, products or technologies, we may enter into these types of arrangements in the future, which could also require us to seek additional equity or debt financing. The sale of additional equity securities or convertible debt securities would result in additional dilution to our
stockholders. Additional debt would result in increased interest expense and could result in covenants that would restrict our operations. There is no assurance that such financing, if required, will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any transactions, obligations or relationships that could be considered material off-balance sheet arrangements.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Interest rate sensitivity. We had $\$ 40.0$ million of fixed rate $5 \%$ unsecured subordinated debt as of September 30, 2012, which we repaid in November 2012, and no debt as of June 30, 2013, and thus do not have any related interest rate exposure. Our investment policy requires us to invest funds in excess of current operating requirements. The principal objectives of our investment activities are to preserve principal, provide liquidity and maximize income consistent with minimizing risk of material loss.

Exchange rate sensitivity. We consider our exposure to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations to be minimal, as less than ten percent of our GMV is denominated in foreign currencies. We have not engaged in any hedging or other derivative transactions to date.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

During the most recent fiscal quarter, there has not occurred any change in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

As of June 30, 2013, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were operating at the reasonable assurance level.
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## PART II-OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we may become involved in litigation relating to claims arising in the ordinary course of our business. There are no claims or actions pending or threatened against us that, if adversely determined, would in our judgment have a material adverse effect on us.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors set forth in Part I, "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Form 10-K are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

## Description

Fourth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on January 17, 2006. Amended and Restated Bylaws, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-129656), filed with the SEC on January 17, 2006. Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
The following materials from the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on August 9, 2013.

LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ William P. Angrick, III
William P. Angrick, III
Chairman of the Board of Directors
and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ James M. Rallo
James M. Rallo
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) <br> OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, William P. Angrick, III, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Liquidity Services, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a$15(\mathrm{f})$ and $15 \mathrm{~d}-15(\mathrm{f})$ ) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2013

/s/ William P. Angrick, III<br>By: William P. Angrick, III<br>Title: Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) <br> OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

## I, James M. Rallo, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Liquidity Services, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a$15(\mathrm{f})$ and $15 \mathrm{~d}-15(\mathrm{f})$ ) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 9, 2013

| /s/ James M. Rallo |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| By: | James M. Rallo |
| Title: | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO <br> 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, <br> AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Liquidity Services, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, William P. Angrick, III, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

> | /s/ William P. Angrick, III |
| :--- |
| William P. Angrick, III |
| Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief |
| Executive Officer |

THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION IS BEING FURNISHED SOLELY PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 AND IS NOT BEING FILED AS PART OF THE FORM 10-Q OR AS A SEPARATE DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT.

A SIGNED ORIGINAL OF THIS WRITTEN STATEMENT REQUIRED BY SECTION 906, OR OTHER DOCUMENT AUTHENTICATING, ACKNOWLEDGING, OR OTHERWISE ADOPTING THE SIGNATURE THAT APPEARS IN TYPED FORM WITHIN THE ELECTRONIC VERSION OF THIS WRITTEN STATEMENT REQUIRED BY SECTION 906, HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. AND WILL BE RETAINED BY LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. AND FURNISHED TO THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ITS STAFF UPON REQUEST.

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO <br> 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, <br> AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO <br> SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the quarterly report of Liquidity Services, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, James M. Rallo, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

| /s/ James M. Rallo |
| :--- |
| James M. Rallo |
| Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |

THE FOREGOING CERTIFICATION IS BEING FURNISHED SOLELY PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 AND IS NOT BEING FILED AS PART OF THE FORM 10-Q OR AS A SEPARATE DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT.

A SIGNED ORIGINAL OF THIS WRITTEN STATEMENT REQUIRED BY SECTION 906, OR OTHER DOCUMENT AUTHENTICATING, ACKNOWLEDGING, OR OTHERWISE ADOPTING THE SIGNATURE THAT APPEARS IN TYPED FORM WITHIN THE ELECTRONIC VERSION OF THIS WRITTEN STATEMENT REQUIRED BY SECTION 906, HAS BEEN PROVIDED TO LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. AND WILL BE RETAINED BY LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. AND FURNISHED TO THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ITS STAFF UPON REQUEST.

